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## Introduction

Lighting is an electrostatic discharge that occurs within charged regions of a thundercloud. This discharge produces strong electromagnetic fields.

These EM fields carry out information related to lightning initiation events such as narrow bipolar events (NBEs)
By adapting radio astronomy imaging techniques, one can study extremely transient lightning-associated events. These events can be mapped onto 2-dimensions with sub- $\mu$ s time resolution using interferometry[2].

Using a three-antenna, $20-80 \mathrm{MHz}$ radio interferometer, NBEs were shown to be composed of extended and/or multiple radio sources. These sources cannot be well-resolved by the interferometer.

This poster presents the preliminary work of developing a forward model to simulate the images of two point source distributions/dynamics to compare with observational results

## Interferometry

With two antennae A and B, we approximate the incoming EM signal from lightning as a plane wave so the signal at each antennae are identical. However, one signal must travel exactly $c \tau_{A B}$ to reach B after reaching A ,
where $\tau_{A B}$ is the time delay between the signals received at A and B and $c$ is the speed of light. The line connecting antennae A and B is called a baseline.

By adding a third antennae, C , the total number of baselines becomes three. Each baseline has a unique orientation with respect to the cardinal directions. Given these three angles of orientation with respect to North, the direction to the source can be determined. The interferometer outputs angular directions $\alpha$ and $\beta$, that a source makes with respect to East and North directions respectively. These angular directions are the axes of the "cosine-plane" coordinate system.
A source is first projected onto a unit hemisphere, representative of the entire sky, and then straight down onto the instrument plane. The fish eye lens and a depiction of both the cosine plane and unit hemisphere are shown on Figure 1 [2].

To determine the direction of an emitting source, one must determine the time delays $\tau_{A B}, \tau_{A C}, \tau_{B C}$ that such a source makes with respect to baselines $A B, A C$, and $B C$, respectively. The time delay that an emitting source makes with respect to baseline XY, with length $d_{X Y}$ and azimuthal orientation, $A z_{X Y}$, can be related to the cosine plane coordinates, $(\cos (\alpha), \cos (\beta))=(l, m)$, using the following relation[1].

$$
\tau_{X Y}=\frac{d_{X Y}}{c}\left(l * \sin A z_{X Y}+m * \cos A z_{X Y}\right)
$$

Time delay, $\tau_{X Y}$, is determined by cross correlating the two signals, $f_{X}$ and $f_{Y}$, with the relation $\left(f_{X} \star f_{Y}\right)(\tau)=\int f_{X}^{*}(t) f_{Y}(t+\tau) d t$

The unit hemisphere can be viewed in an azimuth-elevation projection, where the azimuth angle (Az) is the angle made clockwise with respect to north and elevation angle (EI) is the angle made from the source $\cos ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{l^{2}+m^{2}}\right)$ $A z=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l}{m}\right)$ and $\mathrm{El}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{l^{2}+m^{2}}\right)$.


## Results

- Images A-C show an ideal point source, constructed from a point source function of the antennae array. All concurrent images have a $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ exposure and the same color bar scale.
Images D-G shows the effect of two point sources of equal power, separated angularly in two degrees of angular separation in azimuth
- Images $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ shows the effect of two point sources of equal power, separated angularly in elevation. Two sources are distinguishable at 5 degrees of angular separation in elevation
- While two sources with a separation of less than 4-5 degrees in elevation cannot be single centroid can be tracked over time.

Plots L and M shows the apparent centroid location of two sources, separated by 1 degree in elevation. The bottom most source begins with an intensity of thenile the second source in turned off. Over a total time of $15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, the flipped and the centroid location is tracked.

## Conclusion

Forward model is developed and validated against results presented in Tilles et al. (2019)

Results depicted on plots $L$ and $M$ confirm that two stationary sources of varying intensity can reproduce the observations in Tilles et al. reprodu
(2019).

- In general, two point sources of various separations can produce centroid shifts that separations can produce centroid shift
don't necessarily depict actual source propagation
Next step in this research is to combine with an electrostatic discharge solver for further investigation of dynamic source distributions that agree with observations.
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