



Motivation

Research shows streams and rivers are sources of methane (CH_4), a potent greenhouse gas. However, not enough is known about the variability of methane emissions across flowing waters to confidently scale to continental or global scales. In particular, there is a severe lack of studies on ebullition; that is, bubble-mediated fluxes. We examined ebullitive emissions of CH₄ from four headwater streams to better understand this process.

	- Andrew				
	ٽ ک <i>ي</i> ا	Stream	% Forest	% Developed	% Wetland
		— Dube	55.3	8.2	9.1
		— Cart	77.2	10.4	19.2
		 College 	11.8	64.6	1.3
\square		Sawmill	10.6	88.3	4.1
- Dat	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				

Bubble traps



Bubble traps were deployed in triplicate, at four sites within each of four streams of varying land use. Traps were visited weekly from June-October of 2018 and 2018. Captured volume was measured, and some samples were analyzed for gas concentrations. No significant amount of carbon dioxide or nitrous oxide was emitted via ebullition.



Methane ebullition from headwater streams

Andrew Robison*, Wil Wollheim, Bonnie Turek, Cynthia Bova, Carter Snay, Paige Clarizia, Ruth Varner University of New Hampshire *Contact email: andrew.robison@unh.edu

> Bubble fluxes of methane from streams can be significant, and future studies of these fluxes should consider temporal and spatial heterogeneity.





During June-October, 2019, ebullitive emissions of CH₄ made up ~35% of total CH₄ emissions at Sawmill Brook and ~10% at Cart Creek. Thus, ignoring ebullition in these streams would significantly underestimate total CH_{4} emissions.

This research was funded by NSF Award OCE-1238281 and the Iola Hubbard Climate Change Endowment Fund.



Variability of emissions

- Diffusive flux = 4.03 \rightarrow Ebullitive flux= 2.17



Temporal variability in CH₄ ebullition due to temperature was also observed, with greatest emissions during the warmest part of the year.



Discussion

- Ebullition can be a significant pathway of CH_{4} emissions from watersheds of differing land use.
- The observed variability in space and time suggests ebullition studies should include multiple locations within a stream sampled at multiple times of the year.
- Better refining the controls on spatial variability of ebullition will be critical to scaling emissions to larger areas.