Associations between Social Support, Perceived Food Environment, and Weight Status in the International Weight Control Registry

Rawan AlSarraf¹, James Friedman², Olivia Affuso³, Adolfo Cuevas⁴, Tsz Kiu Chui², Drew Sayer², Sai Krupa Das⁵, Susan Roberts⁵, James Hill², Maria Carlota Dao¹ ¹Department of Agriculture, Nutrition, & Food Systems, University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL; ³Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; ⁴Department of Community Health, Tufts University, Medford, MA; ⁵Energy Metabolism, Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Center on Aging, Tufts University, Boston, MA

Introduction

- Obesity is a multifactorial disease, characterized by high weight status and excessive adipose tissue. It is associated with higher i of developing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mortality.¹
- Social support has been shown to correlate with weight status across multiple cohort studies, although results have been mixed
- A meta-analysis (n=148) found that stronger social relations wer associated with increased likelihood of overall survival by 50%.²
- Helpful social interactions yield favorable weight outcomes.^{3,4,5} • Perceived food environment can be defined by perception of accessibility, availability, and affordability.
- Certain aspects of food behavior, such as cultural norms or food preferences, are not quantifiable by measures of *objective* food environment.^{6,7}
- There are associations between perceived *quality* of fruits and vegetables and intake of fruits and vegetables, as well as the perceived availability of fresh produce and fruit consumption.^{6,8} Fig 1. Relationship between social

support, perceived food environment, and weight status



Objective

• Determine interactions between social support, perceived food environment and weight status.

Methods

International Weight Control Registry (IWCR)

- A web-based, cross-sectional study seeking to identify weight management strategies in an international population.⁹
- This study solely utilized data from a subset of the study populat within the United States.

Perceived Food Environment

- Three-question survey to quantify availability and quality of fresh fruits and vegetables, and the availability of low-fat food produc
- A higher score (0-12) indicates higher perceived food availability **Social Support**

• Sallis Social Support for Diet and Exercise scale, three scores: **Diet Encouragement** of healthy eating habits (5-10) **Diet Discouragement** from healthy eating habits (5-10) *Exercise Support* for habitual exercise (10-50) Higher scores in Diet Encouragement and Exercise Support subscales indicate more support. Higher score in the Diet Discouragement subscale indicates mor discouragement.

Statistical Methods

- All analyses were conducted on SAS.
- Final sample size: Social Support n=1,248, Perceived Food Environment n=1,263
- Generalized linear model with Gamma distribution of BMI Covariates: age, sex, race, ethnicity, education level, household income
- Chi-square test using score tertiles and BMI categories, defined a Normal weight (<25 kg/m²) Overweight ($\geq 25 - \langle 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 \rangle$)
- Obese (\geq 30 kg/m²)



			Results					
Table 1. F	Participant Characteristics	Table 2. Parameter Estimates from Gamma Regression						
Variable	Category	n	% or Mean ± SD	Model with Log Link	Predicting	BMI		
Age		1,247	51.83 ± 0.41	Parameter	Estimate	Standard	P-value	
Sex	Female	1,048	84.0			Error		
JEX	Male	199	16.0	Intercept	3.417	0.043	<.0001	
		1,247	33.1 ± 0.2	Diet Encouragement	0.011	0.002	<.0001	
	White or Caucasian	958	77.0	Diet	-0.0001	0.001	0.956	
	Black or African American	193	15.5	Discouragement				
	More than one race	31	2.5	Exercise Participation Food Environment Score	-0.006	0.001	<.0001	
	Asian	28	2.3			0.002	< 0001	
	Other	12	1.0		-0.008	0.002	<.0001	
	Prefer not to specify	10	0.8					
	American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0.5	Conclusions				
	Unknown	4	0.3	 Parameters of social support and perceived food environment were statistically significantly associated with BMI within the U.S. cohort of IWCR Higher diet encouragement is associated with a higher BMI, whereas high exercise encouragement is associated with lower BMI. Social support for diet encouragement might be higher in those with higher 				
	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	3	0.2					
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino	1,159	93.2					
	Hispanic or Latino	55	4.4					
	Prefer not to specify	19	1.5		BMI due to increased need for support, similar findings to Craven et al. ¹⁰			
	Unknown	10	0.8	 Perception of positive food environment is associated with lower BMI. Race and ethnicity were predictive of BMI throughout all models. Significance: Increasing our understanding of non-biological determinants of health can open new avenues for targeted interventions to combat obesity. Limitations: Cross-sectional design, use of tertiles of scores limits ability to 				
Income	Less than \$25,000	111	9.01					
	\$25,000-\$49,999	229	18.6					
	\$50,000-\$79,999	309	25.1					
	\$80,000-\$130,000	314	25.5		compare results with other populations.			
	Greater than \$130,000	269	21.8	 Next Steps: determine if race/ethnicity moderate the associations between social support, perceived food environment, and BMI. 				
Fiq 2. Chi	-Square Visualization: BMI Categories	vs. Exercise	Score Tertiles	social support, perceived	rood environme	nt, and BMI.		
5	<pre>/ Compose Support Score</pre>			Ack	nowledg	ements		
				This project was funded by the University of New Hampshire and awards				
		43.9		from the NIH (project numbers 3P30DK056336-19S1, 2P30DK048520-26),				
	38.8		39.3	USDA/ARS (project number 8050-51000-105-00D), and the National Institu				
SMI category 52.4	35.0 30.7 32.0			of General Medical Sciences (U54 GM104940). Thank you to the investigators and participants of IWCR, and to Qi Zhang,				
			29.2	Joanna Gyroy, and Jing Zhang at the Center of Integrated Biomedical and				
	4 25.8			Bioengineering Research fo				
ent								
Jerce					Referen	ces		
				1. Valenzuela et al., PMID:				
				2. Holt-Lunstad et al., PMI		Gao et al., PMID: 3		
				20668659 3. Ashida et al., PMID: 2220		Alber et al., PMID: Roberts et al., PMI		
	Low Med		High	4. Winston et al., PMID: 259		Craven et al, PMID		
	Survey Score Tertiles			5. Chui et al., PMID: 38582208				
	Normal Overweight Ob							



Normal Overweight Obese