

Introduction

Clothespin Bridge is currently on the NHDOT Red List due to structural deficiencies. The approaching roadway does not meet the horizontal and vertical alignment requirements. The current bridge is also a one lane which creates safety issues due to the poor alignment. For these reasons, a complete bridge replacement and roadway design is in order. The project will detail the design of the substructure and superstructure to meet loading requirements as well as the roadway design which will be conducted to meet the NHDOT requirements.

Methodology

Hydraulics

- Bridge clear span determined using NH Stream Crossing Guidelines
- USGS StreamStats Investigation
- Hydrologic and Hydraulic Summary
- Superstructure
- Minimum depth estimated using AASHTO LRFD Bridge **Design Specifications**

Substructure

- Geotechnical Engineering Report
- NHDOT Bridge Design Manual

Roadway

AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green Book)

Permits

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Construction Permit

- NH Department of Environmental Services (NHDES)
- Wetlands Permit
- Shoreland Impact Permit
- Stream Crossing Permit



Clothespin Bridge Replacement Oliver Soares, Luke Senter, Joseph Napoli Civil Engineering, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824

Project Background

Existing Bridge

Clothespin Bridge over Blackwater River, Webster, NH:

- Original construction unknown, rehabilitations were conducted in 1939 and 1954
- Steel beam superstructure with7-inch concrete deck
- 65-foot clear span
- 18-foot bridge with 20-foot approach (single lane)
- Inspection in 2022 Rated the Bridge deck "2-critical", superstructure "5 fair", and substructure "4-poor"
- The bridge has been listed on the NHDOT Municipal Red List since 2014







. Deteriorating Deck 2. Section loss in steel girders/ cracking in east abutment 3. Section loss in west abutment

Substructure/ Superstructure Design

Cantilevered Abutment on Spread Footing



• A Geotechnical Report provided by Terracon showed bedrock outcrops at the western approach at a depth of 30-40 ft.

- Shallow foundation was selected as the preferred substructure alternative.
- Utilizing a series of calculations and the design loadings, a fully dimensioned foundation has been proposed.



Roadway Design/Maintenance of Traffic





An off-line alignment is the preferred bridge alignment. This will resolve the horizontal alignment issue and improve sight lines. This will result in a 107-foot bridge at a skew of 40 degrees.



loop.

Existing Roadway

• Approach roadway width of 20 feet is satisfactory Vertical and horizontal alignment is substandard • Bridge Clear width of 18 feet does not meet NHDOT 24-foot requirement



bridge proposed span of 107 feet.

A detour route has been mapped as complete road closure will be necessary in the demolition and reconstruction of the bridge. The detour will result in roughly a 10-minute delay and is a 4-mile

Bridge (st substruct excavatio **Traffic M** Bridge R Miscellan Mobilizat Roadway (off-line) Item Con Total



We thank our project sponsor Taylor St. Peter-Gagnon, PE of VHB.

Thank you to our Faculty Advisor Matthew Low, PE. Thank you, Dr. Erin Bell, PE for help with CSI bridge software.

2015.



Cost Analysis

	Steel Option (\$)	Concrete Option (\$)
superstructure,	1,232,500	921,000
cture,		
on, etc.)		
Maintenance	75,000	75,000
Removal	150,000	150,000
neous	331,000	287,000
ntion	159,000	138,000
y alignment	81,000	81,000
ntingency	285,000	248,000
	2,313,500	1,900,000

In preliminary design, a concrete beam option was compared to steel plate girders as the preferred superstructure type. The cost difference between the two was determined insignificant to outweigh the increased benefits that the steel option displayed.

Hydraulics



Watershed area: 129 Square Miles

Floodway Width: Varies from 57 feet to 84 feet (Upstream vs.

foot freeboard accounted for 50-year flood event Passes 50-year and 100-year flood capacity and freeboard

685 square foot proposed waterway opening

Design Flow (cfs)	Starting WSE (ft)	Low Chord Elevation (ft)
2400	438.39	443.9
2460	438.45	443.9

Acknowledgements

References

A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, 2011. AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, 2017. Bridge Design Manual. New Hampshire Department of Transportation,

StreamStats, streamstats.usgs.gov/ss

New Hampshire Stream Crossing Guidelines. United States Army Corps of Engineers, 2009.