

# Introduction

- The B-field Electrostatic Energetic Particle Spectrometer (BEEPS) is a two-stage instrument.
- The first stage is an electrostatic analyzer that filters ions by their energy to charge ratio.
- It works by applying a voltage to the inner sphere  $R_1$  and grounding  $R_2$ .
- The second stage is a mass spectrometer.
- Ions are separated by a toroidal magnetic field created by 15 permanent wedgeshaped magnets.
- Between stage 1 and stage 2 there is a post acceleration voltage  $V_{PA}$  that makes all ion energies entering stage 2 uniform.
- Originally designed to separate protons from heavier ions, changes were done so that it can distinguish between  $O^+$  and  $N_2^+$ .



**Figure 1.** A rough sketch of the original BEEPS. The concentric spheres create the "stretched" electrostatic analyzer. The magnetic wedges are of height h [1].

# Motivating Science



**Figure 2.** An artist depiction of Mars before and after its atmosphere was lost to space [2].

- Ion upflow is the movement of ions in the ionosphere into higher altitudes.
- The upflow of ions can increase the density in a region causing drag on satellites and thus orbital decay.
- Ion upflow can also lead to ion outflow, the escape of ions from the atmosphere.
- It has long been theorized that solar wind driven ion outflow was a source of Mars atmosphere decay.
- The dominant species above the ionosphere F-region has long been understood to be  $O^+$  and  $H^+$ .
- In 2015, the sounding rocket mission RENU2 recorded emissions from both ground and flight sensors of  $N_2^+$  in the region [3].
- This is significant because the mass of  $N_2^+$  is roughly twice  $O^+$ .

### Analytical Solutions

• Using the Lorentz Force a deflection distance for a charged particle in B of length h is

$$\delta r = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2m^{3}E}}{qB}\right) \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - (hqB)^{2}/2m^{3}E}\right)$$

- Based on total ion deflections the inner and outer radii of the magnetic wedges,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , were chosen to be 5 mm and 40 mm.
- Wedge angle  $Ø_{mag}$  is based on the maximum strength for the smallest volume.
- With this geometry it is given by  $1 = \frac{\phi_{mag}}{2(24 - \phi_{mag})} \ln\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right) \frac{r_2 + r_1}{r_2 - r_1} [1].$
- The most efficient wedge angle is then  $Ø_{mag} = 10.3$  °.



**Figure 3.** Estimated separation of  $O^+$  and  $N_2^+$ . Increasing B increases separation. Increasing E decreases separation.

• Using the Lorentz force, Newtons 2<sup>nd</sup> law, and centripetal acceleration, the electrostatic analyzer voltage for a charged particle E is  $V = 4 \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_2 + R_1} \cdot \frac{E}{q}$ .

# **BEEPS Mass Spectrometer Redesign** Jeffrey Campbell, Marc Lessard Engineering Physics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824

### 3D Modelling



Figure 4. A section view of the redesigned BEEPS 3D model. The top section is the analyzer, the middle the mass spectrometer, the bottom the MCP (40mm).

- A 3D model of the modified magnetic section was created using the original BEEPS drawings as a source.
- The 15 magnetic wedges are held in place by an aluminum center piece and are surrounded by 3 shells.
- After particle simulations the final length of the magnetic section is 22.3 mm. • With a  $R_1$  of 28.1 mm and  $R_2$  of 29.5 mm, the analyzer is roughly twice the size of the original BEEPS.
- For simplicity in modelling parameters the "stretched" top hat analyzer was changed to a more standard top hat analyzer, reducing the ion beam focus and making the instrument less accurate.
- The MCP is stacked on top of the anode and provides a gain of  $5 \cdot 10^5$ , making measuring the ion flux possible.
- largest limiting factor to the original beeps was the MCP diameter (40mm) and magnet strength.

## Magnetic Field Modelling

- The 3D model was imported to ANSYS Maxwell, an electromagnetic field solver.
- Magnetic materials were modeled to estimate the strongest magnetic field in the gap.
- The strongest field is estimated to be 690mT using Alliance N-45M Neodymium Iron Boron. See Figure 5.
- The ideal area for particle flight is between 15 mm and 35 mm where the field is relativity uniform.
- The field leakage from the instrument is small, roughly 600 times less than the field inside. See Figure 6.
- The field will then have a minimal affect on the ions outside.

### 650 600 Sec. 36 500 450 400350 300 10 Distance From Wedge Outer Radius [mm]

Figure 5. The magnetic field in the gap vs. the distance from the outer edge of the wedge  $r_2$ . About 2mm of each 40mm wedge is inside the center piece.

### Particle Simulations

- The 3D model was imported to SIMION, an ion optics simulation that calculates ion trajectories in electromagnetic fields.
- A cone beam with a half angle of 10° made up of  $O^+$  and  $N_2^+$  at 5eV was used to test both their separation distances and individual distributions. See Figure 7.
- Particles were accelerated by  $V_{PA}$  to 500eV, 600eV, 700eV, and 800eV.
- The distance between the magnetic section and the MCP was varied based on best distribution.
- Particles that cross the center of the MCP are "bad" particles as they land in the wrong pitch angle bin.
- Simulations estimate the best length of the magnetic section to be 22.3mm and that a 40mm MCP can be used.



• The bottom cylinder of Figure 4 is the microchannel plate (MCP).





**Figure 6.** The magnetic field modelled with N-45M. Looking down a clockwise field is created, deflecting ions towards the center.

Figure 7. A SIMION simulation with particles accelerated to 800eV. Trajectories can be seen in black. The particles of the correct energy are deflected through the electrostatic analyzer. Between stage 1 and 2 they are accelerated to a higher energy. They are then separated by mass, with  $N_2^+$  to the left and  $O^+$  and to the right.



Figure 8. A different angle of particle trajectories. Particles that do not fly over the center of the instrument pass through.

- increases.

- Enough particle deflection was estimated that a 40 mm MCP could be used in place of the originally assumed necessity of a 75 mm MCP.
- Distributions were estimated to have no overlap but to lack separation greater than 3.4 mm

•	A more a
	of the Bl
•	A simula
	particle

- real time





### Results

Particle simulations estimate that both the separation of the ions and the width of their distributions decrease as the accelerating potential

The separation between distributions is estimated at 3.4mm, 2.4mm, 1.4mm, and 1.2 mm for particles with a post acceleration energy of 500eV, 600eV, 700eV, and 800eV, respectively.

Assuming the  $O^+$  and  $N_2^+$ anodes must be a minimum of 5 mm apart, the collection percentages are 95.8%, 91.7%, 82.3%, and 74.2% for 500eV, 600eV, 700eV, and 800eV, respectively.



their distance from the edge of the MCP, with  $N_2^+$  to the left and  $O^+$  to the right. The 500eV  $O^+$  distribution is considered too large, with particles crossing the MCP center.

## Conclusions

• Modifications to the BEEPS design were done so that it could distinguish between  $O^+$  and  $N_2^+$ . Changes include doubling the electrostatic analyzer radii and more than doubling the length of the magnetic section.

The key reason this can be achieved is advancements in magnetic material that allow for a stronger field with the same volume.

Based on the separation distances and the distribution widths, the best post accelerated energy is 600eV with 91.7% of its particles captured using a 5 mm spacing between anodes.

### Future Work

advanced particle simulation is needed before further development EEPS can be done.

lation with a sweeping  $R_1$  voltage using an ion beam with a range of e energies would correctly simulate how the instrument functions in

• Simulations should include particle beams from every angle to help determine its geometry factor.

• Modifications in the wedge angle may be necessary to maximize ion flux with respect to ion deflection.

### References

[1] Lynch, Kristina Anne, "Fine structure of auroral particle acceleration" (1992). Doctoral Dissertations. 1681. https:// scholars.unh.edu/ dissertation/1681 [2] Brown, K. NASA's Maven reveals most of Mars' atmosphere was lost to space. *NASA* (2017). Available at: https://www.nasa.gov/press-release/nasas-maven-reveals-most-of-mars-atmosphere-was-lost-to-space.

[3] Ellingsen, P. G., Lorentzen, D., Kenward, D., Hecht, J. H., Evans, J. S., Sigernes, F., and Lessard, M.: Observations of sunlit N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> aurora at high altitudes during the RENU2 flight, Ann. Geophys., 39, 849–859, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-39-849-2021, 2021.